IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.12295 of 2008
RAVINDRA SINGH
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 **2.05.2008** Heard the learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with. Bihea P.S. Case No. 119/04 registered under Section 498 (A) of the Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that on perusal of the allegation levelled in the first information report it would appear that the marriage of the informant was solemnized 20-25 years ago. It is further submitted that the complaint in question was filed on account of difference in the family affairs in which all the family members including the husband were made accused. It is further submitted that after sometime compromise was entered between the family members. All the accused persons except the petitioner were enlarged on bail. Since the petitioner was working outside the State, he could not be available for putting his signature on the compromise petition. As such he could not come and obtain the bail.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

However it is submitted that all the accused persons, except the petitioner against whom there was an allegation of demand have been enlarged on bail. As such petitioner deserved for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing on behalf of the State on the other hand opposes the prayer for bail, however he is not in position to refute the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner.

Having considered the submissions and on perusal of the allegations levelled in the first information report as well as considering the fact that another co-accused have been enlarged on bail, it is directed that in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from today, the petitioner Ravindra Singh be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur, Ara in connection with Behea P.S. Case No. 119 of 2004 arising out of Complaint Case No. 205(c)/04 subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Jagdish **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha, J.)**